

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,473	07/11/2005	Anton Niedermeier	30071/39659	3157	
4743	7590 10/19/2006	EXAMINER			
	MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			E, ROY M	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2877		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/507,473	NIEDERMEIER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Roy M. Punnoose	2877
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI c, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	eptember 2005.	
2a)□		action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
	Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
	application from the International Bureau	•	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	t received.
Attachmen	• •	 □	O
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/2004; 10/2004</u> .	6)	<u> </u>

Application/Control Number: 10/507,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading.

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS (if applicable).
- (c) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (d) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (e) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (f) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (h) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a <u>separate sheet</u> and <u>not more</u> than 150 words).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).

Application/Control Number: 10/507,473

Art Unit: 2877

(e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Page 3

- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known

Application/Control Number: 10/507,473

Art Unit: 2877

in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Page 4

- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (l) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 2. The specification is objected to because several headings are missing. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The specification is objected to because the background of the claimed invention is directed to preamble of claim 1 (see numbered paragraph [0001]). Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The specification is objected to because the object of the claimed invention is directed to features of claim 1 (see numbered paragraph [0008]). Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because they include reference characters. It is preferred that the reference characters are omitted from the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al (US_4,651,879) in view of Krieg et al (US_4,902,137).
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected because:
 - A. Harris et al (Harris hereinafter) teach of a device comprising a first carousel 14 in which the containers (see Figure 1) that are to be tested can be rotated about their longitudinal axis so that the contents in the containers rotate, and a second carousel 16 which follows the first carousel 14 in the direction of conveyance for conveying containers with a bottom clearance, and the first and second carousels 14, 16 are arranged side by side with their partial circles tangent so that the containers can be transferred directly from the first carousel 14 to the second carousel 16 for inspecting filled and sealed containers (see col.2, lines 15-58), said device for inspecting filled and sealed containers.

B. However Harris does not teach of at least one inspection device that operates by the <u>dark</u> field method for detecting light scattering foreign bodies in the container contents.

Page 6

- C. Krieg et al (Krieg hereinafter) teach of at least one inspection device that operates by the dark field method for detecting light scattering foreign bodies in the container contents (see abstract and Figure 1).
- D. In view of Krieg's teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Krieg's dark field inspection method into Harris' device due to the fact that such a combination would inspect containers while on a conveyor mechanism without stopping the conveying for inspection purposes and dark field inspection being a better method for inspecting contaminants in a container.
- 8. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al (US_4,651,879) in view of Krieg et al (US_4,902,137) and further in view of what is well-known in the art.
- 9. Claims 2-8 are rejected because the Examiner takes official notice that their limitations are well-known in the art and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate what is well-known into Harris' and Krieg's teachings due to the fact that such a combination would inspect containers while on a conveyor mechanism without stopping the conveying for inspection purposes and dark field inspection being a better method for inspecting contaminants in a container.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,473 Page 7

Art Unit: 2877

Contact/Status Information

- 10. Several facts have been relied upon from the personal knowledge of the examiner about which the examiner took Official Notice in this office action. Applicant must seasonably challenge well known statements and statements based on personal knowledge when they are made. In re Selmi, 156 F.2d 96, 70 USPQ 197 (CCPA 1946); In re Fischer, 125 F.2d 725, 52 USPQ 473 (CCPA 1942). See also In re Boon, 439 F.2d 724, 169 USPQ 231 (CCPA 1971) (a challenge to the taking of judicial notice must contain adequate information or argument to create on its face a reasonable doubt regarding the circumstances justifying the judicial notice). If applicant does not seasonably traverse the well-known statement during examination, then the object of the well known statement is taken to be admitted prior art. In re Chevenard, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943). A seasonable challenge constitutes a demand for evidence made as soon as practicable during prosecution. Thus, applicant is charged with rebutting the well-known statement in the **next reply** after the Office action in which the well known statement was made.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Roy M. Punnoose** whose telephone number is **571-272-2427**. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Gregory J. Toatley**, **Jr.** can be reached on **571-272-2800 ext.77**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,473 Page 8

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 16, 2006

Roy M. Punnoose Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877